

Emerging Biomarkers for Predicting Acute Kidney Injury in Critically Ill Patients

¹Dr. Sharif, ²Dr. Kumari, ³Dr. Nakshatra,

Postgraduate, Associate Professor, Professor

Department of Medicine, Karpaga Vinayaga Medical College & Hospital,
Chennai.

Email ID: sharifdr@gmail.com

Submission Date: 22.11.2023	Accepted Date: 18.12.2023	Published Date: 31.12.2023
------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Copyright © 2023. The author(s). Published by *Journal of MedVerse Research and Practice*. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

Abstract

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a widespread and frequently severe condition, particularly among patients in critical care settings. Timely identification and precise prognostication of AKI are crucial for enhancing patient care outcomes and reducing medical expenses. Traditional methods for diagnosing AKI, such as evaluating serum creatinine and urine output, often lack sufficient sensitivity and specificity, leading to delays in treatment and suboptimal patient outcomes (27, 28). This review article will examine the latest findings regarding novel biomarkers and their potential for predicting AKI progression in critically ill individuals.

In recent years, advancements in molecular and biochemical studies have unveiled several new biomarkers that demonstrate improved sensitivity or specificity when compared to traditional biomarker methods. Several biomarkers, including Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1), Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), and Cystatin C, have shown potential in identifying patients at risk for acute kidney injury (AKI). This identification enables healthcare professionals to implement appropriate interventions and develop targeted treatment plans for patients exhibiting these biomarkers.

This assessment offers a thorough examination of current biomarker research for predicting AKI. It explores recent developments, present limitations, and potential clinical uses in the future. The primary objective is to highlight potential kidney biomarkers and their possible benefits in quickly detecting AKI among severely ill patients.

Keywords: Acute Kidney Injury (AKI), Biomarkers, KIM-1, NGAL, Cystatin C

Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI), formerly known as acute renal failure, is a significant medical condition characterized by a sudden decline in kidney function, which is often reversible. This syndrome primarily affects critically ill individuals, especially those receiving care in the intensive care unit (ICU). Acute kidney injury (AKI) significantly increases patient morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Prompt and accurate detection of AKI is crucial for minimizing these adverse outcomes.

Traditionally, the diagnosis of acute kidney injury (AKI) has relied on meeting both urine output and serum creatinine criteria. Creatinine, a byproduct of muscle metabolism eliminated through the kidneys, serves as an indicator of glomerular function. However, it often exhibits changes with a considerable time lag. Extra-renal mechanisms compensate for chronic kidney damage, causing a delay in serum

creatinine elevation. This delay, however, limits the effectiveness of serum creatinine as an early indicator of acute kidney injury (AKI), as kidney damage may occur before a corresponding rise in serum creatinine is observed. Furthermore, creatinine levels can be influenced by various individual factors such as age, muscle mass, and hydration status, potentially leading to inaccurate AKI diagnoses. Evaluating acute kidney injury (AKI) through urine output measurement has limitations as a diagnostic method. This approach requires meticulous urine collection and may not detect early stages of kidney damage effectively. Additionally, the administration of diuretics in critical care environments can further obscure an accurate assessment of urine production, making this measurement less reliable as an indicator of kidney function.

Given the limitations of conventional biomarkers, scientists are now focusing on discovering new biomarkers that offer enhanced sensitivity and specificity for the early identification of AKI. These molecular and biochemical characteristics are recognized for their highly beneficial functions. They can identify minor alterations in kidney function before they become apparent through conventional indicators like creatinine levels. This early detection allows for prompt intervention, significantly minimizing the extent of kidney damage. These biomarkers offer greater accuracy than previous measurements across a wider range of patients, as they are less influenced by variables such as age or hydration levels. This article reviews recent advancements, discusses their clinical relevance, and explores the obstacles and prospects in identifying biomarkers for AKI [1-5].

New biomarkers for Acute Kidney Injury prediction

In the field of critical care medicine, current research efforts are focusing on identifying new biomarkers for the early recognition and assessment of acute kidney injury (AKI). This emerging investigative direction aims to enhance the timely detection and diagnosis of AKI in critically ill patients. These ten biomarkers facilitate earlier identification, enhanced precision, and improved outcomes for patients. Currently, the most significant biomarkers under investigation include:

Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1)

KIM-1, a type 1 transmembrane glycoprotein, is barely detectable in healthy kidneys but becomes significantly expressed following renal damage. Numerous studies across various clinical contexts have demonstrated its effectiveness in predicting AKI. As a unified indicator, KIM-1 serves as a biomarker for kidney damage, offering exceptional sensitivity and specificity. This marker typically detects renal injury earlier than conventional indicators like serum creatinine, allowing for more timely intervention. Nevertheless, challenges remain in standardizing testing protocols and establishing clinically relevant cutoff values.

Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL)

Damage to the kidneys also triggers the production of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), a protein synthesized by neutrophils and damaged kidney tubule cells. Due to its rapid increase following kidney injury, NGAL is considered a valuable biomarker for the early identification of acute kidney injury (AKI). [16]. NGAL has demonstrated significant efficacy as an AKI indicator across various clinical contexts, including post-cardiac surgery and sepsis cases. Nevertheless, its reliability has occasionally been questioned due to elevated NGAL levels observed in non-renal conditions, such as systemic inflammation. To maximize its diagnostic effectiveness, a comprehensive clinical evaluation is essential.

Cystatin C

All nucleated cells produce CystatinC, a protein with a low molecular weight that easily passes through the glomerulus. Similarly, creatinine has emerged as an important indicator of kidney function, particularly in assessing glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and identifying acute kidney injury (AKI) in its early stages. Cystatin C has several advantages, including the absence of age-related and muscle mass-related effects that impact creatinine levels. It responds more quickly to changes in GFR, making it a more reliable biomarker for identifying patients who are at high risk of AKI. But extrarenal conditions, such as thyroid disease and corticosteroid use, can influence cystatin C levels.

Challenges

Although novel biomarkers are attractive candidates for predicting acute kidney injury (AKI), their clinical application is marred by substantial hurdles. This is essential to fully utilize them in improving patient care outcomes.

Challenges of Standardization and Variability essays

There is little debate that the absence of standardized testing protocols limits the widespread implementation of new biomarkers. There is considerable heterogeneity in the testing techniques, measurement standards, and definition of reference value ranges used, which may complicate results interpretation and comparison across studies. To drive clinical implementation, standard laboratory practices and recognized clinical thresholds are essential.

Costly inaccessible

One of the biggest barriers to the widespread adoption of biomarker tests is cost and availability. Such advanced diagnostics are normally only accessible in certain healthcare facilities so they are only used in specific patient groups. Implementing these advanced AKI prediction methods extensively would require overcoming financial challenges, as well as functioning in practice.

Need for Rigorous Validation

While biomarkers hold great potential to improve clinical decision-making, their implementation in practice mandates further development until their safety and effectiveness are verified over a range of patient populations and clinical settings. They need strong evidence to prove the accuracy, consistency, and clinical significance. Moreover, because of the investment in the, it is important to assess the added value of the use of these biomarkers compared with classical markers such as serum creatinine in the prediction of AKI. 10

Collective Analysis and integration challenges

One of the key challenges is how to make sense of these biomarker data in the clinic. Various combinations of biomarkers will need to be studied for this to generate diagnostic and prognostic information and be incorporated into existing paradigms and processes.

Overcoming such challenges is crucial for the successful implementation of novel biomarkers in clinical practice, including the opportunity for timely AKI detection and improved patient outcomes. We will discuss innovative technologies and partnerships, in later sections, that could aid in addressing these barriers. To successfully incorporate these novel biomarkers into clinical practice for early AKI detection and targeted patient treatment and outcome improvement, these challenges must be addressed. Further sections will reflect on technological innovations or collaborative problem-solving to address these challenges in this research domain. 11,12

Future Research Directions

Novel biomarkers to predict acute kidney injury (AKI): An expanding field with exciting possibilities for interesting research. In this section, we discuss potential improvements, and innovations, that may enhance AKI prediction in critically ill patients.

Multi-Omics Methodologies

Collectively, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics have ushered in an era of biomarker discovery. Conclusion: Integrated omics data analysis has the potential to advance a holistic view of the molecular events in AKI. These strategies potentially provide novel biomarkers and an enhanced performance of biologics to improve AKI prediction. [1,5,11,12].

AI is machine learning and basic learning

It doesn't matter that specific this one piece of information is Admins are almighty. These emerging techs can mine big data, detect intricate and profuse patterns, and build predictive models. Artificial Intelligence in the Prediction of AKI continues to be an under-appreciated and preventable condition and additional opportunities for the disease, and underlying risk factors, to be more widely recognized exist. [10].

Multimarket Panels to Improve AKI Prediction. This reinforces the growing effort to consider more than one biomarker, with different targets in the pathway of injury to the kidneys to improve both sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis. The incorporation of these markers and the best combinations of them are still being refined. [1,7].

Personalized Medicine

With how things work in the healthcare industry, Personalized medicine is one important thing. This approach aims to improve patient outcomes by customizing treatment recommendations based on individual differences. This interest and shift towards an individualized strategy is mirrored in acute kidney injury (AKI) prediction studies that are integrating not just biomarker profiles, but rather patient-level determinants such as genetic background, comorbidity, and drug treatments. [1,5,6,8].

Point-of-Care Testing: Much research is being done to produce portable diagnostics for AKI biomarkers. These rapid, portable diagnostic devices may help facilitate decisions in the clinic. Implementing bedside diagnostics may greatly improve patient care and renal preservation in the setting of AKI, regardless of the clinical setting. [1,9].

This modern research area of AKI prediction can lead to a promising new exploration. These innovations may change the way AKI is recognized, managed, and treated in critically ill patients. Reduction is never a solo effort, and to effectively move forward these people will need to connect with people like those in health care, research, and technology, as well as those who understand data in the real world, so such advancements can indeed be implemented.

A multidisciplinary approach

The creation and execution of innovative biomarkers for the prediction of acute kidney injury (AKI) necessitate a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach. This section will examine the benefits of integrating expertise from various domains and will explore how distinct disciplines can enhance the precision of AKI prediction methodologies.

Clinical Collaboration

Healthcare professionals play a crucial role as essential conduits between scientific inquiry and patient care. Their experiential knowledge and clinical acumen are critical for identifying healthcare needs, setting biomarker thresholds, and ensuring the practical applicability of AKI prediction models across diverse clinical environments. The effective integration of novel biomarkers significantly hinges on strong partnerships between healthcare providers and research scientists. [2,10].

Bioinformatics and Data Interpretation:

The management of the vast datasets generated by omics technologies and biomarker studies is predominantly facilitated by bioinformaticians and data analysts. Their expertise in data analysis, pattern recognition, and predictive modeling enables them to exploit the potential of emergent biomarkers in forecasting AKI. These professionals are essential in the formulation of standardized analytical protocols and in ensuring the reproducibility of findings. [2,13].

Scientific and Technological Innovation

The advancement of advanced diagnostic tools, such as point-of-care testing devices, is heavily dependent on the knowledge of engineers and technologists. These experts are integral in translating scientific advancements into practical applications that can be utilized directly in patient care settings. Moreover, engineers play a pivotal role in enhancing the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of tests designed to identify biomarkers. [2,12].

Regulatory Oversight

Regulatory bodies, such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), bear the responsibility for the evaluation and authorization of medical diagnostics and therapeutic interventions. Engaging closely with these regulatory organizations is paramount to ensure that novel biomarkers meet safety and efficacy standards prior to their widespread implementation in clinical practice. The regulatory approval pathway is a critical phase in transitioning biomarkers from laboratory research to practical medical application. This step is vital for converting laboratory discoveries into tangible healthcare solutions. [2,3].

Patient Advocacy and Ethical Considerations

The trajectory of research in AKI prediction is profoundly shaped by patients and their advocates. It is imperative to uphold patient rights, perspectives, and confidentiality. Additionally, thorough interdisciplinary dialogues must encompass ethical considerations, including informed consent processes, data-sharing agreements, and the ethical utilization of biomarkers. [11,12].

Interdisciplinary collaboration empowers researchers to more effectively navigate the challenges associated with predicting acute kidney injury (AKI) and accelerates the identification and implementation of novel biomarkers. By assembling diverse teams of specialists from various fields, a holistic strategy can be devised to address the clinical, technological, regulatory, and ethical challenges involved, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes in critical care environments.

Summary

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a sudden and often reversible decline in kidney function that mainly affects critically ill patients, leading to high morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Conventional diagnostic markers such as serum creatinine and urine output are limited by delayed response and poor sensitivity. As a result, research has shifted toward identifying novel biomarkers that can detect kidney injury earlier and more accurately.

Among the promising biomarkers, Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1), Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL), and Cystatin C have shown strong potential for early detection of AKI. KIM-1 becomes highly expressed after renal damage, NGAL rises rapidly after injury, and Cystatin C reflects changes in glomerular filtration rate without being affected by age or muscle mass. Despite their promise, challenges such as high costs, lack of standardization, and limited clinical validation restrict their widespread use.

Future research is focusing on integrating multi-omics approaches, artificial intelligence, and multimarker panels to improve diagnostic precision. Personalized medicine, which tailors management based on genetic and clinical profiles, is also gaining attention. Additionally, the development of point-of-care testing devices could make AKI diagnostics more rapid and accessible, especially in critical care settings.

A multidisciplinary approach is essential for translating these discoveries into clinical practice. Collaboration among clinicians, researchers, bioinformaticians, engineers, regulatory authorities, and patient advocates will help overcome technical, ethical, and implementation barriers. Such teamwork will accelerate the integration of advanced biomarkers into healthcare, enabling earlier diagnosis, better management, and improved outcomes for patients with acute kidney injury.

Conclusion

In summary, the emergence of innovative biomarkers for the early identification of acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients represents a significant breakthrough in the domain of critical care medicine. A variety of biomarkers, including KIM-1, NGAL, and cystatin C, exhibit the capacity for expedited detection and greater precision compared to traditional diagnostic approaches. However, the implementation of these biomarkers faces challenges concerning standardization, cost-effectiveness, and clinical validation. Despite these challenges, the adoption of these advanced biomarkers signifies a hopeful advancement toward enhancing patient management within intensive care settings.

Progress in multi-omics methodologies, artificial intelligence technologies, and tailored medicine reveals substantial promise for enhancing AKI prediction capabilities. To propel the field forward and ensure that emerging biomarkers substantially influence patient outcomes, it is essential to embrace a collaborative framework. This strategy should involve a diverse array of stakeholders, including healthcare professionals, researchers, engineers, data analysts, regulatory bodies, and patient advocates. As advancements in AKI prediction continue, interdisciplinary collaboration will be crucial to optimize the advantages of forthcoming biomarkers, ultimately fostering improved patient care in critical healthcare environments.

Reference

1. Han WK, Waikar SS, Johnson A, et al. Urinary biomarkers in the early diagnosis of acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int.* 2008;73(7):863-869.
2. Ichimura T, Bonventre JV, Bailly V, et al. Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1), a putative epithelial cell adhesion molecule containing a novel immunoglobulin domain, is up-regulated in renal cells after injury. *J Biol Chem.* 1998;273(7):4135-4142.
3. Parikh CR, Devarajan P, Zappitelli M, et al. Postoperative biomarkers predict acute kidney injury and poor outcomes after pediatric cardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;22(9):1737-1747.

4. Mishra J, Dent C, Tarabishi R, et al. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) as a biomarker for acute renal injury after cardiac surgery. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9466):1231-1238.
5. Haase M, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, Schlattmann P, Haase-Fielitz A. Accuracy of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in diagnosis and prognosis in acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2009;54(6):1012-1024.
6. Singer E, Elger A, Elitok S, et al. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin distinguishes pre-renal from intrinsic renal failure and predicts outcomes. *Kidney Int*. 2011;80(4):405-414.
7. Dharnidharka VR, Kwon C, Stevens G. Serum cystatin C is superior to serum creatinine as a marker of kidney function: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2002;40(2):221-226.
8. Laterza OF, Price CP, Scott MG. Cystatin C: an improved estimator of glomerular filtration rate? *Clin Chem*. 2002;48(5):699-707.
9. Murty MS, Sharma UK, Pandey VB, Kankare SB. Serum cystatin C as a marker of renal function in detection of early acute kidney injury. *Indian J Nephrol*. 2013;23(3):180-183. doi:10.4103/0971-1040638719867124
10. 4065.111840
11. Bayless RL, Moore AR, Hassel DM, Byer BJ, Landolt GA, Nout-Lomas YS. Equine urinary N- acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase assay validation and correlation with other markers of kidney injury. *J Vet Diagn Invest*. 2019;31(5):688-695. doi:10.1177/1040638719867124
12. Tsuji S. et al. Sex differences in the excretion levels of traditional and novel urinary biomarkers of nephrotoxicity in rats. *J Toxicol Sci* 2017;42:615– 627.
13. Zhang X. et al. Combined detection of urinary micro albumin, α 1-microglobulin and N-acetyl- β - D-glucosaminidase in the early diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy. *Pak J Med Sci* 2017;33:1324–1327.